



The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

POSTER ABSTRACTS

627.AGGRESSIVE LYMPHOMAS: CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL

Improved Risk Prediction in DLBCL By Combining Clinical and PET Features with Interim PET Assessment

Christine Hanoun, MD¹, Martijn Heymans², Sanne Wiegers³, Annelies Bes⁴, Ulrich Duehnsen, MD⁵, Andreas Huettmann, MD¹, Lars Kurch, MD⁶, Sally F Barrington, MD⁷, George Mikhaeel, MD⁸, Pieterella Lugtenburg, MD PhD⁹, Luca Ceriani, MD¹⁰, Emanuele Zucca, MD^{11,12}, Tamas Gyorke, MD¹³, Sandor Czibor¹⁴, Gerben Zwezerijnen¹⁵, Ronald Boellaard¹⁶, Josée M. Zijlstra, MDPH¹⁷, Corinne Eertink, Msc¹⁸

¹ Hematology, Uniklinikum Essen, Essen, Germany

² Amsterdam UMC, Location Vumc, Amsterdam, NLD

³ Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Hematology, Cancer Ce, Amsterdam, NLD

⁴ Hematology, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands

⁵ Universitätsklinikum Essen, Essen, DEU

⁶ University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, DEU

⁷ School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London and Guy's and St Thomas' PET Centre, London, United Kingdom

⁸ Guy's Cancer Centre, Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Trust and King's College University, London, United Kingdom

⁹ Erasmus MC Univ. Med. Ctr. Rotterdam, Rotterdam, NLD

¹⁰ Imaging Institute of Southern Switzerland (IIMSI), Lugano, Switzerland

¹¹ IOSI-Oncology Inst. of Southern Switzerland, Lodrino, Switzerland

¹² Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Bellinzona, Switzerland

¹³ Semmelweis Egyetem, Budapest, Hungary

¹⁴ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Medical Imaging Centre, Semmelweis University, B, Budapest, HUN

¹⁵ Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Amsterdam, Netherlands

¹⁶ Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Radiology and Nuclear, Amsterdam, Netherlands

¹⁷ Cancer Center Amsterdam, Imaging, Amsterdam, Netherlands

¹⁸ Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Background: Accurate detection of patients at high risk of treatment failure following frontline immunochemotherapy in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is of paramount importance as these patients might benefit from early treatment escalation. Recently, we introduced the IMPI prognostic model based on metabolic tumor volume (MTV), age and stage that outperformed the international prognostic index (IPI). However, radiomic features such as $D_{max\ bulk}$ and SUV_{peak} as well as an early treatment response at interim PET (i-PET) as a measure of chemosensitivity using ΔSUV_{max} may have additional predictive value. We tested different models for risk prediction aiming at a dynamic risk tool in the era of evolving radiomic features in functional imaging.

Methods: All patients within the PETRA database with newly diagnosed DLBCL, who were treated with R-CHOP and had available clinical data, baseline PET and i-PET scans were included.

The optimal transformation of $D_{max\ bulk}$, SUV_{peak} and ΔSUV_{max} was determined by choosing the best fitting Cox regression model with 3-year PFS as outcome, with highest R² and lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), while the cross-validated c-index was obtained as a measure for discrimination.

Subsequently, risk models were developed using clinical, baseline PET and i-PET data. The best risk model was compared to the IMPI model and our subsequent ClinicalPET model, also incorporating radiomic features (MTV, IPI, age, SUV_{peak} and $D_{max\ bulk}$) by determination of risk re-classification rates and by generating kaplan-meier (KM)-curves based on 60-30-10 PFS risk groups.

Results: 1014 patients were included in the analyses. Adding i-PET response (ΔSUV_{max}) to the IMPI model markedly improved outcome prediction (AIC 3177.44, c-index 0.72) and was superior to IMPI model alone (AIC 3247.09, c-index 0.68). By adding $D_{max\ bulk}$ outcome prediction was further improved (AIC 3143.23, c-index 0.74), while SUV_{peak} did not show significant impact on outcome ($p=0.07$). Compared to the IMPI and the ClinicalPET model, the new model combining baseline features (MTV,

age and $D_{\max\text{bulk}}$) with i-PET reponse (ΔSUV_{\max}) led to a sharper segregation of KM-curves with an improved rate of correct progression risk classification (22%; 95% confidence interval 12.1-31.1%).

Conclusions: Adding i-PET reponse to baseline clinical and PET parameters optimizes risk classification in DLBCL enabling individualized risk assessment in early phase of frontline treatment and outperforms our previous IMPI model and ClinicalPET models.

Disclosures Zucca: AstraZeneca: Research Funding; BeiGene: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding; Celgene/BMS: Research Funding; BMS: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Curis: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Eli/Lilly: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Incyte: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding; Ipsen: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Janssen: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding; Merck: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Miltenyi Biomedicine: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Roche: Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding; Kite, A Gilead Company: Other: travel grant.

<https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-190277>